WRITING LAB REPORT
Summer, 1979

William Demaree Linda Richardson During the 1979 summer semester, the English Department's Writing Lab staff--two tutors and a full-time secretary/receptionist--served 152 students; this is nearly twice the number of students served during the 1978 summer semester when only one tutor operated the Lab. In addition to students enrolled in regular freshman composition courses, the Lab served students enrolled in the Business Opportunity and Upward Bound Programs, graduate students preparing for the English Proficiency Examination and the LSAT, and members of the university staff and the local community. The staff also gave tours of the Lab to occasional visitors from other state universities.

Since students enrolled in English 101 and 102 constituted only one-third of the total number of students using the Lab during the summer, student evaluations were not distributed. However, the Lab received valuable positive information from the Office of Writing Review, which administers the English Proficiency Examination. Of the thirty-one graduate students who used Lab facilities to prepare for the examination during the summer, sixteen students quickly passed the examination after completing their work in the Lab and another three students immediately began to receive higher scores on their papers.

In addition to the large number of students from the OWR, the Lab saw, for a variety of reasons, a large number of graduate and undergraduate foreign students. The Lab also served a few non-native speakers from the local community. Because the Lab worked with an unusually high number of graduate students and non-native speakers during the summer, the staff suggests that during subsequent summer semesters the Lab should be staffed by tutors familiar with the OWR testing procedures and with ESL pedagogy.

The following tables describe the student population using the Lab during the 1979 summer semester.

## TABLE I TOTAL NUMBER OF STUDENTS USING THE LAB

- A. No. of students attending the Lab for tutorial appointments: 78
- B. No. of students using the Lab's drop-in sessions: 57
- C. No. of students using the Lab's self-instruction modules: 45

  Total\* 180

\*Many students used Iab facilities in some combination of appointments, drop-in sessions, and self-instruction modules. Hence this total is greater than the total number of individual students who used the Iab: 152.

TABLE II NO. OF APPOINTMENTS REQUIRED BY STUDENTS USING THE LAB FOR REGULARLY-SCHEDULED TUTORIAL SESSIONS

No. of appts.		No. of students	Total no. of appts.
1	•	43	43
2	•	22	44
. <u> </u>		. 4	12
4		5	20
5		Ō	0
6		1	6
7		2	14
8		0	0
9	3	0	0
10		0	0
11		0	О
12		1	12
	Totals	78	151

TABLE III NO. OF DROP-IN SESSIONS ATTENDED BY STUDENTS

No. of sessions	No. óf	Total no.
per student	students	of sessions
1	48	48
2	7	14
. 3	2	6
	Totals 57	<del>88</del>

TABLE IV NO. OF SELF-INSTRUCTION MODULES USED BY STUDENTS

No, of	No. of		o, of uses
modules	students	<u>o</u>	f modules
1	33		33
1	2		4
2	2		6
3	$\tilde{4}$		16
AY .	2		10
6	1		6
7	0		0
γ Ω	0		0 .
0	0		0
10.	0		0
10	0		0
10	1		12
12	Totals 45		87

TABLE V DESCRIPTION BY SCHOOL OF STUDENTS USING THE LAB FOR TUTORIALS, DROP-IN HELP, AND SELF-INSTRUCTION

School	No. of people	% of total
Agriculture CFS Engineering HSSE Management Pharmacy Science Technology Veterinary Medicine Staff/Community	16 5 15 23 31 1 8 5	10% 3% 10% 15% 20% .6% 5% 3% .6%
Unclassified Upward Bound	24 15	10%
To	otal 152	